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## SUMMARY

The proverbial preference for a male-child continues to be in-tact amongst all stratas of the Indian Society. The poor and the uneducated ensure liaving more sons, by producing more children. The rich and the educated prefer a 2-child family. They ensure having at least one male child by opting for amniocentesis as a method for prenatal sex detection $\&$ if required, a female foeticide. Unless the social prejudices against a female child are overcome the female child will continue to bear the brunt with disastrous bio-social implications.

## INTRODUCTION

There is little doubt, that son preferences are strung in Asian societies and that India is among the settings where this phenomenon is most pronounced. The implications of son preferences for fertility regulation have long been a subject of concern. Population experts are aware that couples specially in rural India would not limit their reproduction until a mimimum number
of sons were born.
We were curious to know the prevalent practice amongst the educated \& uneducated couples residing in one of India's premier cities - 'Bombay' with its consmopolitan \& pro-western outlook. Hence, a study along this line was planned.

## SUBJECTS \& METHODS

The study was aimed at collecting information from 2000 parous couples residing in Bombay on their opinions \& practices pertaining to family planning \&
gender preferences if any, for their offspring. A cross-section of Bombay women belonging to different socio-economic, educational, religious \& cultural classes were interviewed.

## RESULTS

the couples believed in family-planning, but education ramained the main deciding factor on the ideal number of children a couple wished to have. Most of the illand semi-literates \& a good number of high school graduates said that God was responsible, for the sex of the infant. That

Table I
PROFILE OF THE STUDY COUPLES


Though a mixed population of Bombay women were interviewed, in order to make the data comparable in the 4 literacy groups Bludied, we kept the average age of the women at the time of the intervicw almost ponstant $(32.1+0.6 \mathrm{yrs})$.

Table II ndicates that the majority of
the husband was responsible was known to only $74 \%$ of the highly educated women. Regarding the gender preference of the offsprings, it was heartening to hear that approximately $50 \%$ of the ill-, and semiliterates and high school graduates \& almost $80 \%$ of the educated women said that they

Table II
PERCEPTIONS REGARDING FAMILY PLANNING $\&$ GENDER PREFERENCES OF OFF-SPRINGS

| Variables | Educational Status of 2000 Study Women |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Hilcrates | Primary School | High School | College <br> Graduatcs |
| $n=565$ | $\mathrm{n}=396$ | $\mathrm{n}=687$ | $\mathrm{n}=352$ |  |


| Is Family Planning Essential ? |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Couples Response | $81.0 \%$ | $87.6 \%$ | $93.6 \%$ | $96.0 \%$ | Yes !


| What Should Be The Family Size ? |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 Child | $0.2 \%$ | $0.3 \%$ | 4.1 | 17.9 |
| 2 Children | $11.2 \%$ | $25.6 \%$ | 51.1 | 72.9 |
| 3 Children | $38.4 \%$ | $47.6 \%$ | 38.1 | 7.4 |
| 4 Children | $50.2 \%$ | $26.9 \%$ | 6.0 | 1.7 |


| Do You Have Any Gender Preferences For Your Oif'spring ? |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No Gcnder Prefcrence | $48.1 \%$ | $55.7 \%$ | $52.5 \%$ | $78.9 \%$ |
| At least 1 malc | $18.2 \%$ | $20.8 \%$ | $27.1 \%$ | $15.4 \%$ |
| At least 2 malcs | $28.7 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 5 . 1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 . 9 \%}$ | $1.4 \%$ |
| At least 1 femalc | $5.0 \%$ | $8.4 \%$ | $9.5 \%$ | $4.3 \%$ |


| Who Do You Think Is Responsible For The Sex Of The Child ? |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| God | $78.4 \%$ | $63.0 \%$ | $32.7 \%$ | $10.9 \%$ |
| Husband | $2.5 \%$ | $4.8 \%$ | $29.3 \%$ | $74.0 \%$ |
| Husband \& Wilc | $19.1 \%$ | $32.2 \%$ | $38.0 \%$ | $15.1 \%$ |

did not have any gender preference for their off-springs.

Table III indicates that obstetric history of the 2000 women interviewed. As expected, parity \& cducational status of the women showed an inverse relationship. The interesting feature was the male/female ratio
of the olfsprings in the four catrgorics of women interviewed. While the ratio of the the male/female children born remained relatively unaltered for the uneducated upto the 6 children studied, there was a definite bias towards an increase in the number of males born after the first child

Table III
OBSTETRIC HISTORY OF 2000 STUDY WOMEN (OUTCOME ACCORDING TO ORDER OF PREGNANCY)

| Pregnancy Outcome | Illiterates $n=565$ | Primary School $n=396$ | High School $\mathrm{n}=687$ | College Graduates $\mathrm{n}=352$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ist Child $\mathrm{n}=$ | 565 | 396 | 687 | 352 |
| Male | 48.7\% | 45.0\% | 48.8\% | 42.0\% |
| Female | 48.8\% | 49.7\% | 43.5\% | 50.0\% |
| Abortion | 2.5\% | 5.3\% | 6.8\% | 6.5\% |
| M.T.P. | Nil | Nil | 0.9\% | 1.5\% |
| 2nd Child $\mathrm{n}=$ | 551 | 389 | 639 | 245 |
| Male | 45.9\% | 48.8\% | 46.5\% | 37.1\% |
| Female | 51.1\% | 46.3\% | - $40.7 \%$ | 32.2\% |
| Abortion | 3.1\% | 4.1\% | 6.4\% | 13.1\% |
| M.T.P. | Nil | 0.8\% | 6.4\% | 17.6\% |
| 3rd Child $\mathrm{n}=$ | 472 | 297 | 388 | 106 |
| Male | 48.9\% | 52.5\% | 45.6\% | 41.5\% |
| Female | 42.8\% | 40.1\% | 39.4\% | 29.2\% |
| Abortion | 7.0\% | 4.7\% | 7.0\% | 9.4\% |
| M.T.P. | 1.3\% | 2.7\% | 8.0\% | 19.9\% |
| 4th Child $\mathrm{n}=$ | 334 | 161 | 175 | 23 |
| Male | 54.5\% | 50.3\% | 42.3\% | 43.5\% |
| Female | 42.5\% | 44.7\% | 37.7\% | 21.7\% |
| Abortion | 2.1\% | 1.9\% | 6.9\% | 4.3\% |
| M.T.P. | 0.9\% | $3.1 \%$ | 13.1\% | 30.5\% |
| 5th Child | 183 | 49 | 56 | 7 |
| Male | 55.2\% | 44.9\% | 57.1\% | $57.1 \%$ |
| Female | 41.6\% | 44.9\% | 23.2\% | 0.0 |
| Abortion | 2.7\% | 8.2\% | 8.9\% | Nil |
| M.T.P. | 0.5\% | 2.0\% | 10.8\% | 42.9\% |
| 6th Child $\mathrm{n}=$ | 94 | 16 | 26 | 1 |
| Male | 46.8\% | 31.3\% | 42.3\% | 100\% |
| Female | 46.8\% | 56.2\% | 23.1\% | 0.0\% |
| Abortion | $3.2 \%$ | 12.5\% | 11.5\% | 0.0\% |
| M.T.P. | 3.2\% | 0.0\% | 23.1\% | 0.0\% |

onwards amongst the educated. That the tilt was 'Man-Made' was obvious when the number of Medical Termination of Pregnancies (M.T.P) performed were observed. The percentage of MTPs performed, were in direct proportion to the chronological number of the child and the level of education of the mother.

Thus, the statements made in Table II by $50 \%$ of the un-educated \& $80 \%$ of the educated that they had no gender preferences for their off-springs belied the facts actually observed in Table III.

## CONCLUSION

The proverbial preference for a male child continues to be intact even amongst the educated \& employed. Several reasons for the preference have been cited: A son is required to perform the last funeral rites of his parents. He is an economical asset, a social status symbol, a means of security in the old age and responsible for the continuity of the family lineage.

Because the uneducated had no means of assuring that the children they produced would be males, they produced more children in the hope that a majority of them would be males.

The educated and the affording ensured having a male child in a moresubtle manner. 'Small family' norms, appealed to them, as that meant a reasonably good living standard, better employment opportunities for the mothers \& a relatively less problematic life style. They were obsessed with the idea of a two-child family, with at least one of them being a male. In case their first born was not a male, a vast majority of them achieved what they desired
by undergoing prenatal sex detection by amniocentesis. In case the foetus was a female, they opted for a female foeticide (Chander J, 1988). Amniocentesis, a test primarily developed for the detection of foetal malformation has been widely used over the years in India predominantly as a prenatal sex detection or a sex pre-selection tool (Kaur M, 1933). The extent to which this test is misused in Bombay was brought out by a Survey Report (1986) which showed that $84 \%$ of the consulting gynecologists in Bombay performed this test and only $5 \%$ of the tests performed were actually required for detection of foetal malformation. As this test is expensive \& is offered only by private gynecologists only the educated \& affording have access to it.

So deep rooted is the bias in favour of the male child ingrained into the Indian culture, that couples whether educated or not, rich or poor are unprepared to accept that a daughter can be as great an asset as a son and if given a chance, can achieve as much material \& social success as son. Unless social prejudices against women are overcome by improving the over all status of women in society, the female child in India will continue to bear the brunt which in the coming years could have disastrous biosocial implications! (Kusum L, 1988).
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